Letters

Setting Expectations for Clinical Research During the COVID-19 Pandemic

"Knowing and understanding an epidemic is the first step to defeating it." Since Tedros Ghebreyesus, PhD, Director-General of the World Health Organization, tweeted this on March 2, 2020,[1] coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) has become a pandemic that has claimed hundreds of thousands of lives. The medical and research community has mobilized with unprecedented rapidity to address knowledge gaps for this novel disease.

In this issue of *JAMA Internal Medicine,* Pundi et al[2] provide an early barometer for the clinical research response to COVID-19. Using ClinicalTrials.gov, they found 1551 studies registered on COVID-19 as of May 19, 2020. Although this work is impressive in quantity, the authors concluded that fewer than one-third of these studies were designed to provide evidence strong enough to be potentially practice changing. Mortality, arguably the most important outcome, was rarely a component of the primary outcome for randomized clinical trials.

These data are an important reminder that we should manage our expectations of initial studies.[3] Small cohort studies in the initial phase of a new pandemic serve to delineate the patient population in the context of current treatments for the planning of future research. However, multiple, small, flawed trials will not give us the answers we need to treat this disease. Although the product of lower research standards may be marginally more useful than knowing nothing, we must seriously consider the potential harms of disseminating invalid findings mined from convenience samples or poorly controlled or underpowered studies. Equally important to managing expectations is for the clinical research community to set expectations. We should not sacrifice well-designed trials in the haste to generate new knowledge. Given the high stakes, all of us—clinicians, researchers, research funders, research regulators, and research publishers—are responsible for ensuring that the scientific value derived from conducting the trial justifies the risk our patients bear when they participate and their expectations of contributing to societal good.

In diseases such as COVID-19 that have a rapidly changing disease landscape and evolving standards of care, adaptive trial designs[4] can allow research to respond nimbly but still maintain a valid control arm by using interim results and new standards of care to launch new study arms while allowing early stopping for efficacy, safety, or futility. The World Health Organization recommends using a consistent primary outcome between COVID-19 therapeutic trials and proposes an ordinal scale for clinical improvement to be responsive to the patient population and disease course. This is similar to a traditional composite end point, except it ranks component events, with mortality ranked as the most clinically important outcome.[5]

There are many ways to promote collaboration and efficiency. Can our patients coenroll in multiple studies? Can we use the same control group for multiple trials? How do we fast-track rate-limiting steps in research such that clinically overloaded or research-naive sites can easily participate? As we adjust to post–COVID-19 life, it is important that we take steps forward, not backward, in research.

James W Salazar, MD, MAS
J. Michael McWilliams Jr, MD, PhD
Tracy Y. Wang, MD, MHS, MSc

Author Affiliations: Department of Medicine, University of California, San Francisco (Salazar); Department of Health Care Policy, Harvard Medical School, Boston, Massachusetts (McWilliams); Associate Editor, *JAMA Internal Medicine* (McWilliams, Wang); Department of Medicine, Duke Clinical Research Institute, Durham, North Carolina (Wang).

Corresponding Author: Tracy Y. Wang, MD, MHS, MSc, Department of Medicine, Duke Clinical Research Institute, 200 Morris St, Durham, NC 27701 (tracy.wang@duke.edu).

1. @DrTedros. Knowing & understanding an epidemic is the first step to defeating it. We are in unchartered territory with #COVID19. We have never before seen a respiratory pathogen that is capable of community transmission, but which can also be contained with the right measures. March 2, 2020. Accessed May 9, 2020. https://twitter.com/drtedros/status/1234532869197922304?lang=en

2. Pundi K, Perino A, Harrington R, Krumholz H, Turakhia M. Characteristics and strength of evidence of COVID-19 studies registered in ClinialTrials.gov. *JAMA Intern Med*. Published online July 27, 2020 doi:10.1001/jamainternmed.2020.2882

3. Bauchner H, Fontanarosa PB. Randomized clinical trials and COVID-19: managing expectations. *JAMA*. 2020. Published online May 4, 2020. doi:10.1001/jama.2020.8115

4. National Institutes of Health Clinical Center. A multicenter, adaptive, randomized blinded controlled trial of the safety and efficacy of investigational therapeutics for the treatment of COVID-19 in hospitalized adults. Accessed May 26, 2020. https://clinicalstudies.info.nih.gov/ProtocolDetails.aspx?A_2020-I-0073.html

5. World Health Organization. COVID-19 therapeutic trial synopsis. R& D blueprint. Published February 18, 2020. Accessed May 13, 2020. https://www.who.int/publications/i/item/covid-19-therapeutic-trial-synopsis